purse snatching, those types of things. So if we cannot categorize and establish priorities in our minds and if we speak of the FBI as though we are talking about a law enforcement agency in Kearney, it shows that we are not conversant with the facts necessary to make an intelligent decision. But intelligent decisions have not been the hallmark of the Legislature anyway.

SPEAKER NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now there was a judge in Florida who refused to allow any radar readings, Senator Beutler, in his court, and when people gave the arguments you are giving such as the prosecutor, it was established that the radar devices were not reliable as mechanical devices in the first place but, secondly, he said the purpose of the courts is not as a revenue raising agency, that people are not going to lose their licenses, they are not going to make money for the insurance companies through the use of improper police methods, and those methods related to traffic enforcement or speed determination. Currently there is no lawful means by which the State Patrol, as a state agency, can use unmarked cars in the way that Senator Beutler is suggesting. But once that would be done, all that people would be aware of is that the State Patrol can now use unmarked cars, and I assure you that there are people who would take advantage of that and there are unknowing, unwitting citizens who would be sucked in and allow unmarked cars to stop them in the same way that when a lot of depositors trusted the state's representations and saw NDGIC written up there and it said something about Nebraska insuring it, they went for it.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Time is up.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And then when they went for it, they were called fools for trusting what the state put out there. So don't say that people will not be mislead by unmarked cars.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Newell.

SENATOR NEWELL: Are there a lot of other lights on?